

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,700)	01/17/2002	Shing Young Lee	P67555US0	3601
136	7590	02/10/2005	EXAMINER		INER
		LMAN PLLC REET N.W.	BURGESS, B	BURGESS, BARBARA N	
SUITE		REET IV.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHI	NGTON, I	DC 20004	2157		
•			•	DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/046,700	LEE, SHING YOUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Barbara N Burgess	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 January 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/046,700

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Modeste et al. (hereinafter "Modeste", US 2003/0056012 A1).

As per claim 1, Modeste discloses a remote control method for controlling electrical appliances via home gateway comprising the act of:

- Preparing a main board to selectively connect to an INTERNET (paragraphs [0004, 0034, 0036, 0042]);
- Dialing a phone call to the main board to initiate the main board (paragraphs [0006, 0037, 0040]);
- The main board connecting to the INTERNET (paragraphs [0003, 0006, 0034, 0039]);
- Acquiring a pre-registered IP address from a DNS server (paragraphs [0004, 0034, 0049, 0084]); and
- Using a tool accessible to the IP address for communicating with electrical

Application/Control Number: 10/046,700

Art Unit: 2157

appliances, wherein a data confirmation step is added before the step of the main board connecting to the INTERNET so as to confirm the data input to the main board to initiate the main board (paragraphs [0037, 0051, 0070-0071, 0074]).

As per claim 2, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the main board has a controller with two ports (paragraphs [0036, 0042-0046]).

As per claim 3, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 2, wherein one of the ports is a hub (paragraphs [0036, 0040-0042]).

As per claim 4, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 3, wherein the other one of the ports is a WAN port (paragraphs [0034]).

As per claim 6, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the main board further has a modem connecting port (paragraphs [0034, 0036, 0038]).

As per claim 7, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 6, wherein the main board further has a modem connecting port (paragraphs [0034, 0036, 0038]).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 8, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the tool includes PC, PDA, GSM mobile phone, WAP mobile phone, GPRS phone or a Webpad (paragraphs [0004]).

As per claim 9, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the main board further has a power line modem (paragraphs [0034, 0036, 0038]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Modeste et al. (hereinafter "Modeste", US 2003/0056012 A1) in view of Hayes et al. (hereinafter "Hayes", US 6,480,586 B1).

As per claim 5, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1.

Modeste does not explicitly disclose wherein the main board further has a fax server so as to transmit and receive information. However, in an analogous art, Hayes discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/046,700

Art Unit: 2157

connecting the appliance server to both the caller ID modem and to data/fax modem (column 11, lines 30-40).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Hayes's fax server in Modeste's method in order to receive data via fax.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 2004/0047358 A1

US 2002/0095269 A1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER